


Annexure - 53
(CHAPTER XVIII, PARA-18.1)

CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF THE DEO ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO THE HOUSE OF PEOPLE OR STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

[In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidate and the Shadow Observation Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should be sent along with this report]

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO	
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Ramarajan Baharsingh At-Dokanda, P.O./P.S. Ranaj, pure	
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BJD	
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	109-Batjabadi A.C.	
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Umakant Samantray	
5.	Date of declaration of result	16-5-14	
6.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	15-6-14	
7.	Date of lodging of account by the candidate	15-6-14	
8.	Whether account lodged by the candidate is in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Yes	
(b)	Defects noticed in the format by the DEO	Tick rows	Brief note on details of the defects
(i)	Abstract statement (Part I to IV and schedules 1 to 9) not filled up/not duly signed		
(ii)	Duly sworn in Affidavit of the candidate not filed		
(iii)	Register of day to day accounts along with bank register and cash register not duly signed by the candidate		

S.No.	Description				To be filled up by the DEO		
(iv)	Vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure not submitted/not signed by the candidate or his election agent						
(v)	Self Certified copy of the Statement of Bank account for election expenses not submitted						
(vi)	All receipts for election expenses not deposited in the aforesaid bank a/c and all payments except petty expenses not made by cheque.						
9.	Grand Total of all election expenses by the candidate as mentioned in Part-II of the Abstract Statement, filed by the candidate.				Rs. 12,05,722/-		
10(a)	Whether the items of expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence (Yes or No)				Yes		
(b)	If No, then, please fill up the details where expenditure has been understated / not mentioned at all by the candidate						
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	As per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate	
	Total						


S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO																
11. (a)	Did the candidate produce his Register of Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO (Yes or No) 3 times during campaign period	1st & 2nd time - Absent 3rd Time - Produced																
(b)	Was any discrepancy pointed out to the candidate at the time of inspection of register by the Observer. If Yes, mention the discrepancy?																	
(c)	Was a notice pertaining to any discrepancy in expenditure given to the candidate by the RO. ? Please mention date and nature of discrepancy.																	
(d)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?(Please Annex copy of the notice and explanation received).																	
12.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Yes or No)	Yes																
13.	Lump sum amount given to the candidate in Cash or Cheque by Political Party as mentioned in Part-III of the Abstract Statement. Also mention name of the party.																	
14.	Lump sum amount given to the candidate in Cash or Cheque by any other person/entity. Also mention name of the	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>1. K. Rath</td> <td>1,50,000/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. M. Hota</td> <td>80,000/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. S. Pradhan</td> <td>90,000/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. R. K. Mishra</td> <td>35,000/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. R. Sami</td> <td>49,000/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. B. Rath</td> <td>50,000/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><hr/></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>4,54,000/-</td> </tr> </table>	1. K. Rath	1,50,000/-	2. M. Hota	80,000/-	3. S. Pradhan	90,000/-	4. R. K. Mishra	35,000/-	5. R. Sami	49,000/-	6. B. Rath	50,000/-		<hr/>		4,54,000/-
1. K. Rath	1,50,000/-																	
2. M. Hota	80,000/-																	
3. S. Pradhan	90,000/-																	
4. R. K. Mishra	35,000/-																	
5. R. Sami	49,000/-																	
6. B. Rath	50,000/-																	
	<hr/>																	
	4,54,000/-																	
15.	Was there any incident of distribution of money, food or other items in the constituency by the candidate or his agents or his party functionaries or any other person related to the candidate? Please mention date and name of person.																	
	SEAL: Date:	 District Election Officer and COLLECTOR DEORI (Name of the) DHIREN KUMAR PATTNAIK																

Note for item No. 10 (b):

1. Please mention details of particular events where expenditure is under reported vis-à-vis the Shadow Observation Register.

2. If practicable, please attach separate annexure indicating item wise break up of expenditure

Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

<i>I agree with above report</i>	
	
Date: <i>19.6.14</i>	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Forwarded to the Election Commission of India by the CEO -	
Date:	Signature of the Chief Electoral Officer **

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.